

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/809,340	05/06/1997	PIETRO PADOVANI	B-3289PCT615	7453
7:	590 03/17/2003			
RICHARD P BERG LADAS & PARRY 5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			MACKEY, JAMES P	
SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 900365679			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1722	46
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2003	76

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	08/809,340	PADOVANI, PIETRO			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James Mackey	1722			
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FOR THE PLACE TO PLACE	roid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officianely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officianely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li> </ol>	on(s): the rejection of claim 10 und	der 35 USC 112, second paragraph.			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-10,14,43 and 45</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-13,15-2	<u>1,23 and 25-30</u> .				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449)				
10. ☐ Other:	4	James Mackey			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1722 3//3/0 3			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Christine is reasonably pertinent to the problem of securely positioning containers on a conveyor; moreover, a skilled artisan would have been motivated to modify GB '660 by providing the container conveyor with the container holders in the conveyor of Christine in order to more securely retain the containers and in order to improve versatility of the apparatus by allowing the templates to be used for differently sized/shaped containers. With regard to the recitation in claim 43 of "one or more receiving holes...communicating with the exterior surface", Christine discloses a conveying template having receiving holes 17,18 "communicating with the exterior surface", with "each receiving hole having an annular collar" as claimed in claim 43, the collar being the holder 22 of Christine. With regard to Applicant's contention that claims 10 and 14 were not previously amended, these dependent claims depend from independent claim 43, which was previously amended.